

Pat 9-30-07

on Nueco/FU

1. US strong participation — really, leadership — is required to deal properly and more broadly with the situation.

2. ~~Nevertheless~~ <sup>has always shown</sup> US is showing leadership — in every dimension.

3. Thus US reliance on EU threats — not only to prevent a crisis

4. but to make control is certain ones feasible

(VN; Iran (from SO); ME? Berlin (NATO)

c) — (+ US role is critical to US role in Europe

+ policy of moving

Germany (for other reasons)

+ for role in West Europe

US not only leads our own

and encourages policy (friends & enemies)

but, US cannot lead away from Nueco, EU, policy

4) This "opportunity cost" is the most serious cost + risk of EU policy

more serious than: 1) the danger of carrying out a threat; 2) the riskness it gives to policy & threats 3) the costs of our buildup 4) the effects on SO, & <sup>are</sup> benefits of



B. US role w/out new an

no like US role w/out global warming

W/out US leadership to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions

- China won't follow, or India

- Problem is insoluble - soon, inevitable;

But US leads away! and catastrophe inevitable

---



9-26-07

60 years of threats have habituated us  
to threat/ware

Legitimized threats (& threat of execution)  
+ assured us that threats would not  
back lead to war war — can be  
successful, or at least, harmless

2. Next, bin Laden: small "war" experience —  
"vention bombs" (!) on NATO  
(Khalid) as so far for ~~the~~ attacks:

1) Not much longer the largest  
committed weapons (esp. air-bul)

2) No experience of war war  
no intel

no exp. to pop/all-out  
- brutal civ. war.

- "effortless"/overcoming

vs. underground tactics.

A threshold — like early fair bombing.

like Israeli war-war attacks on (Osiris &) Syria

3 days ago (as Arab complaint),

(as perhaps some NK to Syria warnings,  
not ones).



U.S. NEU : "No, ~~any~~ actions spontaneously,  
influently : identities

non-shut

stop proclamation

no testing...

on never liberal

|| (let more of these prints, stall, US/R / NWS  
|| use of uses — in attacks — vs. NWS  
|| or small are states! (not allow to Russia!)

Actions: Stop thrusting

and found desert (in 1992)

during these uses

no new development of the uses

Stop planning (here)



9-19-07

NUC Boor

- see Engelhardt/Carnoll, 9-18-07

readers <sup>"set"</sup> to destroy the world HK

~~was~~ "Better to destroy the world the world destroyed  
(HGWills?) than take care of communism."

Is the "nihilistic"? (Carnoll; or, a matter of profound  
values, moral commitment (risk/sacrifice

everyone in on families  
rather than...

Ev. Compton, in 1942 - atmos. ignition

1945 (46?) H-bomb

(GAO 1949 H-bomb? | end...

yet, in such case, a choice was taken; by the "doers"

BUT: ~~the world~~ better the world destroyed

[not the US: but Sov, SU, China & are with!  
as they saw it US, after all

like on the beach

then but Boor takes:

BERLIN

West Europe

IRAN

CUBA

"small"?  
(not occupied -  
not about lost!)



EP Thompson

44 There is a threat of "ending civilization"!

It is not "Islamofascism"

It is US/Russia (+, after testing) nukes!



Burning BURNING

- Boulder-Fair River (Shok)

- CO<sub>2</sub> oxidation

Proanth - fine (and from development  
pottery  
material  
Kantha chine

burning fossil fuel - special (Sagden)

vs. ? wood  
print

explosives

napalm / fire-bombs

A-bomb

H-bomb

(Tokyo 1923

Marshall 1941)